2021 PROGRAM OUTCOMES LYCOMING COUNTY REENTRY SERVICE CENTER



PROGRAM SUMMARY

In Lycoming County, GEO Reentry Services provides comprehensive treatment programs tailored to meet individual participant's risk and needs. At the foundation of our treatment is Cognitive Behavioral Treatment (CBT) designed to address criminogenic needs as identified through the assessment process. The program model includes Level of Service Inventory-Revised (LSI-R) Risk/Needs Assessment, Life Skills Training, Substance Abuse Treatment, Anger Management, Moral Reconation Therapy® (MRT), Employment Readiness & Assistance, GED Readiness, Parenting & Family Reintegration, Aftercare Programming, and Pre-Treatment Group for Stages of Change. Programming is delivered through group and individual sessions.

The Lycoming County Reentry Service Center (RSC) is designed to be part of the solution in changing behavior and reducing recidivism.

The following reflects 2021 (Jan 1 - Dec 31) program data and intermediate outcomes for the Lycoming County RSC in Pennsylvania.

EMPLOYMENT GAINS

A goal of the RSC is to assist participants with securing employment and/or enrollment in school. During the reporting period, the number of participants employed increased by 49%.



- Employed/enrolled in school at starting point
- Employed/enrolled in school at exit

LSI-R RISK REDUCTION ASSESSMENT SCORES

In 2021, the Lycoming County RSC helped participants cut their risk of recidivism nearly in half from 63% to 37% on average. (n=96)





SERVICES ATTENDANCE

Polovy is a broakdown of Carvice Attendance

Below is a breakdown of Service A for the RSC population.	ttendance
GROUPS	77 %
INDIVIDUAL COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL TREATMENT	83%
AFTERCARE	90%
COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL INTERVENTIONS FOR SUBSTANCE ABUSE (CBI-SA)	87%
LIFE SKILLS	71%
MORAL RECONATION THERAPY® (MRT)	79 %
ORIENTATION	95%
DRUG TESTING RESULTS	
TOTAL DRUG SCREENS: 4,554	73%
	27%

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

The RSC served 85 participants on average per month.

DISCHARGE RESULTS

TOTAL DISCHARGES: 178

		71%
		29%
 C 101	 	

- Successful/Neutral: Includes successful and completion discharges, agencyordered terminations, external transfers, and any participant deaths
- Unsuccessful: Includes absconds, jail termination, and unsuccessful discharges

GRADUATING PARTICIPANTS

Successful program completions

REFERRALS

During the reporting period, the Lycoming County RSC had 1,147 electronic monitoring and 43 program referrals.

OTHER INFORMATION

Annual Participant Check-ins

Year-end Check-in Rate

FOR MORE INFORMATION

John Hogan, Area Manager • 570.208.4858 • johogan@geogroup.com

■ Clean ■ Substance(s) Detected

¹ Andrews, D.A., Ph.D, Bonta, J.L., Ph.D. (2003). "Level of Service Inventory-Revised, U.S. Norms Manual Supplement"


CRIMINAL THINKING ASSESSMENT SCORES

Criminal thinking domains, such as antisocial cognitions and antisocial attitudes, are frequent targets for change in correctional programming, and are described in current theories of criminal behavior.¹ The research on "What Works" to reduce recidivism indicates that antisocial cognition and antisocial attitudes (criminal thinking) are among the top three risk factors as drivers of recidivism. The Texas Christian University Criminal Thinking Scales (CTS), a reliable and validated instrument, measures the effect of GEO's programming on antisocial cognition and attitudes.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Research evaluators analyzed the pre- and post-program CTS scores for 202 individuals who participated in programming between January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021. The results indicate that the programming at the Lycoming County RSC significantly reduced criminal thinking as evidenced by the reduction in their CTS scores. Significant findings include:

- FIGURE 1 illustrates the results of 202 individuals, regardless of risk level. These participants had a 6% reduction (1.4 points) across all six domains.
- FIGURE 2 displays the results of 105 individuals, with medium- to high-risk scores in at least one domain at starting point. Participant risk level is determined by the recommended score ranges outlined by research.² These participants had a clinically significant decrease, averaging a 14% reduction (3.8 points) across all six domains.



CRIMINAL THINKING SCALES

RECOMMENDED RISK SCORE RANGES³

ELEMENTS	DESCRIPTION	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
ENTITLEMENT	 Focuses on a sense of ownership and privilege. High scores are associated with the offender's belief that the world "owes them" and they deserve special consideration. 	10-17	18-20	21-40
JUSTIFICATION	 Refers to patterns of thought that minimize the seriousness of antisocial acts and by justifying actions based on external circumstances. High scores may be associated with perceived social injustice. 	10-18	19-22	23-40
POWER ORIENTATION	 Measures the need of power and control. High scores are associated with higher levels of aggression and controlling behaviors. 	10-22	23-27	28-40
COLD HEARTEDNESS	• High scores reflect a lack of emotional involvement.	10-20	21-23	24-40
CRIMINAL RATIONALIZATION	• High scores on this scale are associated with negative attitude towards the law and authority figures.	10-28	29-35	36-40
PERSONAL IRRESPONSIBILITY	 Assesses the degree to which an offender is willing to accept ownership for criminal actions. Therefore, high scores are associated with non-acceptance of criminal actions and often blaming others. 	10-18	19-24	25-40

FOR MORE INFORMATION John Hogan, Area Manager • 570.208.4858 • johogan@geogroup.com

¹ Knight, K., Garner, B.R., Simpson D.W. Morey, J.T., & Flynn, P.M. (2006). "An assessment for criminal thinking" Crime & Delinquency, Vol. 52, No. 1, 159-17

² Knight, K., Ekelund, B., Barbour, P. (2015). "Simplifying Assessment in Criminal Justice and Treatment Settings: Using TCU Tools to Ensure Effective Services". Social Solutions. https://www.socialsolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/pdf/TCU-Slides-US-WT.pdf